



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ll

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/937,522	02/01/2002	Yoshiki Ichioka	2001-1462A	9476
513	7590	11/01/2005	EXAMINER	
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.			LEE, JOHN D	
2033 K STREET N. W.				
SUITE 800			ART UNIT	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			2874	
			PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/937,522

Applicant(s)

ICHIOKA ET AL.

Examiner

John D. Lee

Art Unit

2874

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01 March 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0901</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 (a)-(d) or (f). All of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

The single sheet of drawing filed in this application on March 1, 2002, is acceptable.

The preliminary amendment has been entered.

The disclosure has not been studied to the extent necessary to discover all possible minor errors therein. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claims 4-6 are objected to because of the following minor informalities. The claim status identifier for claim 4 is incorrect. This claim is not "(New)", rather it is "(Amended)". In each of claims 5 and 6, the term "the time-frequency filter" should actually be "the time-space filter". Since these two claims depend from claim 2, there is no antecedent support for the presently used term. Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ichioka et al (SPIE Vol. 3137, July 1997) in view of Sun et al (Journal of the Optical Society of America, May 1997), both submitted by applicant. Conversion of a temporal optical signal to a two-dimensional spatial optical signal by temporal-spatial conversion and temporal-frequency conversion has long been known in the art as evidenced by the

Ichioka et al reference. Sun et al teaches that such temporal-spatial conversion can be done by relying upon a one-dimensional Fourier transform technique which satisfies the phase-matching conditions of second harmonic generation (SHG), for example, in a nonlinear LBO crystal. This is essentially the technique being claimed by applicant for ultra-fast temporal-spatial conversion. Since Ichioka et al and Sun et al are from the same field of endeavor, a person of ordinary skill in the art would recognize the advantages of the Sun et al technique when applied to the Ichioka et al conversion methods. The use of the Sun et al one-dimensional Fourier transform SHG technique in the Ichioka et al temporal-spatial conversion of a temporal optical signal to a two-dimensional spatial optical signal method would thus have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill. Note that time-frequency filtering is accomplished in Ichioka et al by spatial filtering in a filter plane.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. A related teaching of temporal-spatial conversion of an optical signal through nonlinear optical interactions can be seen in cited U.S. Patent 5,353,149 to Urakami et al.


All of the prior art documents cited by applicant in the Information Disclosure Statement filed on September 26, 2001, including the Ichioka et al and Sun et al documents relied on in the rejection above, have been considered and made of record. Note the attached initialed copy of form PTO-1449.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), the Examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were

Art Unit: 2874

made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR § 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the Examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. § 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. §§ 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Any inquiry concerning the merits of this communication should be directed to Examiner John D. Lee at telephone number (571) 272-2351. The Examiner's normal work schedule is Tuesday through Friday, 6:30 AM to 5:00 PM. Any inquiry of a general or clerical nature (i.e. a request for a missing form or paper, etc.) should be directed to the Technology Center 2800 receptionist at telephone number (571) 272-1562, to the technical support staff supervisor (Team 8) at telephone number (571) 272-1564, or to the Technology Center 2800 Customer Service Office at telephone number (571) 272-1626.


John D. Lee
Primary Patent Examiner
Group Art Unit 2874